1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LAWRENCE A. ORGAN (SBN 175503) larry@civilrightsca.com MARQUI HOOD (SBN 214718) marqui@civilrightsca.com CIMONE A. NUNLEY (SBN 326915) cimone@civilrightsca.com CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROUP 332 San Anselmo Avenue San Anselmo, California 94960 Telephone: (415)-453-7352 Facsimile: (415)-785-7352  J. BERNARD ALEXANDER (SBN 128307) balexander@amfllp.com ALEXANDER MORRISON & FEHR LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 394-0888 Facsimile: (310) 394-0811 Attorneys for Plaintiff, OWEN DIAZ	MICHAEL RUBIN (SBN 80618) mrubin@altber.com JONATHAN ROSENTHAL (SBN 329638) jrosenthal@altber.com ALTSHULER BERZON LLP 177 Post Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 421-7151 Facsimile: (415) 362-8064  DUSTIN L. COLLIER (SBN 264766) dcollier@collierlawsf.com COLLIER LAW FIRM, LLP 240 Tamal Vista Blvd. Suite 100 Corte Madera, CA 94925 Telephone: (415) 767-0047 Facsimile: (415) 767-0037
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15		
16	NORTHERN DISTRI	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
17	OWEN DIAZ,	Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO
18	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF CIMONE NUNLEY
19	v.	IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE
20	TESLA, INC. dba TESLA MOTORS, INC.;	OF NEW EVIDENCE
	,	
21	Defendant.	Trial Date: March 27, 2023
21 22	Defendant.	Trial Date: March 27, 2023 Complaint filed: October 16, 2017
	Defendant.	·
22	Defendant.	·
22 23	Defendant.	·
22 23 24	Defendant.	·
22 23 24 25	Defendant.	·
22 23 24 25 26	Defendant.	·
22 23 24 25 26 27	Defendant.	·

Case No. 3:17-cv-06748-WHO

### I, CIMONE A. NUNLEY, hereby declare:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California. I am an attorney with the law firm of California Civil Rights Law Group, co-counsel for plaintiff Owen Diaz in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and if called upon to testify, I could and would competently testify thereto, except as to those matters that are stated upon information and belief. I hereby submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff's Notice of New Evidence in Support of Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for Mistrial and Motion for a New Trial.
- 2. The California Civil Rights Law Group and Altshuler Berzon LLP are among the counsel of record on behalf of the named plaintiffs and putative class members in a pending Alameda County Superior Court class action alleging racial discrimination in Tesla's Fremont factory, *Vaughn et al. v. Tesla, Inc.*, Alameda Superior Court No. RG17882082 ("*Vaughn*").
- 3. In July 2023, Tesla produced approximately 34,000 pages of documents to the named *Vaughn* plaintiffs. While reviewing these documents on August 4, 2023, we discovered two email chains dated March 3, 2016—during the time Mr. Diaz worked in the Tesla factory. The Bates Stamp numbers of those documents are TSLA(VGN) 26869, 26870, 27092, 27094, and 27095. Both email chains attach the same photograph.
- 4. . Pursuant to the Protective Order in *Vaughn*, Tesla marked these documents as "CONFIDENTIAL." Although the email chain identifies the person who sent the initial email (who to the best of my knowledge was not identified in Tesla's disclosures or discovery responses in *Diaz*), Tesla redacted the names of the recipients of that email and the name of the person who responded to the sender.
- 5. I believe that these documents would have been responsive to General Order 71 as well as to several discovery requests that our office served in the *Diaz* matter, and this Court's subsequent order pertaining to those requests. Dkt. 93.

6. Upon discovering this email chain in the <i>Vaughn</i> production, my office promptly
contacted Tesla's counsel of record in this matter, Quinn Emmanuel Urqhart & Sullivan, LLP
("Quinn Emmanuel") by email at 12:07 PM on Friday, August 4, 2023. We informed Tesla that
we had received a document that we believed was responsive to our discovery requests in Diaz
and should have been produced. We asked Tesla's counsel to meet and confer before noon on
Monday, August 7, 2023, and we asked them to be prepared to explain Tesla's deficient
production. We subsequently also asked Tesla to withdraw its "CONFIDENTIAL" designation
of the documents in Vaughn so we could submit them to this Court, and we further asked Tesla
to unredact the names of the senders and recipients of these documents. On August 7, 2023, we
renewed our request to meet and confer. Tesla's counsel stated that they were not prepared to
meet and confer. They did not respond to our repeated requests to retract the
"CONFIDENTIAL" designation or our request to withdraw the redactions

- 7. On Sunday, August 6, 2023, at 5:17 PM, my office separately reached out to Tesla's counsel in the *Vaughn* matter, Reed Smith LLP. Mr. Diaz's counsel challenged the confidential designations and requested that Reed Smith immediately agree to withdraw the "CONFIDENTIAL" designations in light of Mr. Diaz's pending new trial motion and to unredact the email addresses of the recipients of the emails. Mr. Diaz's counsel requested a response no later than 4:00 PM on Monday, August 7, 2023. We still have not received a response.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the Protective Order in *Vaughn*. That Protective Order does not include any provision for expedited de-classification of an improper "CONFIDENTIAL" designation by the Court. The process set forth in the Protective Order for obtaining de-classification of an improperly classified documents could take several weeks or more.

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 485-1 Filed 08/08/23 Page 4 of 21

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 8, 2023 in Sacramento, California. Cimone Nunley 

# Exhibit

1

1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTO A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations	)N LLP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Including Professional Corporations  TRACEY A. KENNEDY, Cal. Bar No. 150782 tkennedy@sheppardmullin.com 333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1422 Telephone: 213.620.1780 Facsimile: 213.620.1398  PAUL S. COWIE, Cal. Bar No. 250131 pcowie@sheppardmullin.com PATRICIA M. JENG, Cal. Bar No. 272262 pjeng@sheppardmullin.com SAMI HASAN, Cal Bar No. 272333 shasan@sheppardmullin.com GAL GRESSEL, Cal. Bar No. 286312 ggressel@sheppardmullin.com LUIS F. ARIAS Cal. Bar No. 317819 larias@sheppardmullin.com Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor	
12 13	San Francisco, CA 94111-4109 Telephone: 415.434.9100 Facsimile: 415.434.3947	
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	Attorneys for Defendant TESLA, INC.  ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE	
18	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
19	COUNTY O	F ALAMEDA
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	MARCUS VAUGHN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  TESLA, INC. doing business in California as TESLA MOTORS, INC.,  Defendant.	Case No. RG17882082  STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER  Complaint Filed: November 13, 2017 Trial Date: None set  Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. Winifred Y. Smith, Dept. 21
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>		
		1- Case No. RG17882082

SMRH:4851-0995-9616.2

1	BRYAN SCHWARTZ LAW	
2	BRYAN SCHWARTZ (SBN 209903) NATASHA BAKER (SBN 319381)	
3	180 Grand Ave., Suite 1380 Oakland, California 94612 Tel. (510) 444 0300	
4	Tel: (510) 444-9300 Fax: (510) 444-9301 Email: bryan@bryanschwartzlaw.com	
5	natasha@bryanschwartzlaw.com	
6	CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROUP LAWRENCE ORGAN (SBN 175503)	
7	NAVRUZ AVLONI (SBN 279556) 332 San Anselmo Ave.	
8	San Anselmo, CA 94960 Tel: (415) 453-4740	
9	Fax: (415) 785-7352 Email: larry@civilrightsca.com	
10	navruz@civilrightsca.com	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff MARCUS VAUGHN	
12	WEIGEOS VIIOGIIIV	
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-1- Case No. RG17882082 SMRH:4851-0995-9616.2 STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER	

7

8

9

5

6

10 11

13

14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

WHEREAS the parties in the action pending in the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda entitled *Marcus Vaughn v. Tesla, Inc. dba Tesla Motors, Inc.*, Case No. RG17882082 ("the Litigation"), anticipate that during the course of the Litigation documents and/or information of a sensitive, private and confidential nature may be produced in the course of discovery or otherwise disclosed or provided, and the parties wish to protect the confidentiality of such documents or information while ensuring that discovery may be pursued with a minimum of delay and expense:

THEREFORE Defendant TESLA, INC. dba TESLA MOTORS, INC. and Plaintiff MARCUS VAUGHN (hereafter "Party or Parties"), by and through their counsel, hereby stipulate and agree to the following proposed Protective Order Re: Confidential Information ("Protective Order"), subject to court approval:

#### I. SCOPE OF PROTECTIVE ORDER

The protection of this Protective Order may be invoked with respect to any a) documents, testimony, information, and things (collectively "materials") produced or created in this action that contain Confidential Information. As used herein, the term "Confidential Information" includes testimony and records, including but not limited to discovery responses and depositions, whether hardcopy or electronic, that contain confidential, commercially sensitive, and/or proprietary trade secret information, including, but not limited to, technical and competitively-sensitive information protected by law, and information protected by California's constitution and common law right to privacy. As set forth below, materials containing Confidential Information may be designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only." Such designation may be made by another Party or non-party producing materials in this action ("Producing Party"), or may be made by a Party who determines, in good faith, that materials produced by a non-party contain Confidential Information ("Designating Party") even though not so designated by the Producing Party. The protections conferred not only cover Confidential Information, but also any information copied or extracted therefrom, as well as copies, excerpts, abstracts, summaries, compilations thereof, in addition to testimony,

-1-

Case No. RG17882082

conversations or presentations by parties or parties' counsel or in court or in other settings that might reveal Confidential Information.

b) In the event that additional Parties join or are joined in this litigation, they shall not have access to materials designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to this Protective Order until they have executed and, at the request of any Party, filed with the court their agreement to be bound by this Protective Order and shall not have access to materials designated as "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" except as provided in paragraph V of this Protective Order.

# II. <u>DESIGNATION OF MATERIALS AS CONFIDENTIAL OR CONFIDENTIAL</u> <u>ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY</u>

- a) "Confidential" materials shall include only such information as the Producing or Designating Party in good faith contends should be protected pursuant to this Protective Order on the grounds that the information is properly subject to protection under existing California or federal law. A Producing or Designating Party may designate Discovery Material as "CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" if it contains or reflects information that is extremely confidential and/or sensitive in nature and the Producing or Designating Party reasonably believes that the disclosure of such Discovery Material is likely to cause economic harm or significant competitive disadvantage to the Producing or Designating Party.
- b) In making the designation of materials pursuant to this Protective Order, the Producing or Designating Party shall give due consideration to whether the information contained in the materials (1) has been produced, disclosed or made available to the public in the past, (2) has been published, communicated or disseminated to others not obligated to maintain the confidentiality of the information contained therein, (3) has not been preserved or maintained in a manner calculated to preserve its confidentiality, or (4) is available from a third party or commercial source that is not obligated to maintain its confidentiality or privacy. The Producing or Designating Party shall also give due consideration to the age of the materials.
- c) The protection of this Protective Order may be invoked with respect to materials in the following manner:

- i. Documents when produced or otherwise designated shall bear the clear and legible designation "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" on each page of the document, except that in the case of multi-page documents bound together by staple or other permanent binding, the "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" legend need only be affixed to the first page in order for the entire document to be treated as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only." Documents produced prior to the entry of this Protective Order may be designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" within thirty (30) days after entry of this Protective Order. Documents produced by a Party or non-parties may be designated "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" by a Party within thirty (30) days after such production or if such production already occurred, within thirty (30) days after entry of this Protective Order.
- ii. As to discovery requests or the responses thereto, the pages of such requests or responses containing "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" materials shall be so marked, and the first page of the requests or responses shall bear a legend substantially stating that "This Document Contains 'Confidential' Material" or "This Document Contains 'Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only' Material";
- iii. As to deposition or other testimony, "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" treatment may be invoked by: (1) declaring the same on the record at the deposition, hearing, or proceeding, with instructions to so designate the cover of the transcript, or (2) designating specific pages as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" and serving such designations within thirty (30) days of receipt of the transcript of the deposition, hearing, or proceeding, in which the designations are made. All deposition testimony shall be treated as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" pending receipt of a transcript.
- iv. For information produced in some form other than documentary and for any

25 | 26 |

tangible items, the Producing Party shall affix the legend "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored.

d) If any Producing Party inadvertently produces or discloses any materials designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" without marking it with an appropriate legend, the Producing Party or a Designating Party shall promptly notify the receiving party that the information should be treated in accordance with the terms of this Protective Order, and shall forward appropriately stamped copies of the items in question. Within five (5) days of the receipt of substitute copies, the receiving party shall return the previously unmarked items and all copies thereof, except any such copies that, following their receipt, have been marked up with attorney work product, in which case all copies containing the attorney work product shall be destroyed. The inadvertent disclosure shall not be deemed a waiver of confidentiality, and such designation shall be made as soon as possible after the discovery of the inadvertent production or disclosure.

# III. <u>CHALLENGES TO "CONFIDENTIAL" OR "CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS'</u> <u>EYES ONLY" DESIGNATIONS</u>

- a) Any Party believing materials designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" by another is not entitled to such designation shall at any time, but no later than thirty (30) days before trial in this action, notify the Producing or Designating Party of that belief in writing, provide a brief statement of the basis for that belief with service on all other Parties and allow fifteen (15) days for the Producing or Designating Party to respond.
- b) If a Producing or Designating Party does not modify its designation of the materials in response to a notice pursuant to paragraph III(a) of this Protective Order, then the Party challenging the ""Confidential"" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" designation may move the court for an order modifying or removing such designation. To maintain ""Confidential"" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" status, the burden shall be on the proponent of confidentiality to show that the material or information is entitled to protection under applicable law. Unless and until a ""Confidential"" or "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" designation is

11

9

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

voluntarily withdrawn by the Producing or Designating party, or the court issues an order modifying or removing such designation, the provisions of the Protective Order shall continue to apply.

# IV. <u>DISCLOSURE OF MATERIALS DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL</u>

- a) Materials designated "Confidential," as well as summaries, copies, excerpts, and extracts thereof, shall not be disclosed to or made accessible to any person except as specifically permitted by this Protective Order. Materials designated as "Confidential" shall be used solely in the preparation for trial and/or trial of the Litigation, and shall not be used at any time for any other purpose.
  - b) Materials designated as "Confidential" may be disclosed only to:
    - i. The court and all court staff, including its clerks and research attorneys;
    - ii. Attorneys actively involved in the representation of a Party, their secretaries,
       paralegals, legal assistants, and other staff actively involved in assisting in the
       Litigation;
  - iii. In-house attorneys employed by any Party and working on the Litigation, and their secretaries, paralegals, legal assistants, and other staff actively involved in assisting in the Litigation;
  - iv. The Parties, potential or actual class members, officers and employees of the Parties assisting counsel in the preparation of the case for trial, motion practice or appellate proceedings, provided that the materials designated "Confidential" may be disclosed to such persons only to the extent such disclosure is, in the judgment of counsel, reasonably necessary to counsel's preparation of the case;
  - v. Any expert or consultant who is retained by any of the Parties or their counsel of record to assist counsel in the Litigation, and any employee of such an expert assisting in the Litigation (hereafter, "Experts");
  - vi. Any person called to testify as a witness either at a deposition or court proceeding in the Litigation, but only to the extent necessary for the purpose of assisting in the preparation or examination of the witness, and also only if such persons are

informed of the terms of this Protective Order, provided with a copy of the Protective Order and agree, on the record, that they are bound by the terms of the Protective Order and are required not to disclose information contained in the materials designated as "Confidential";

- vii. Deposition and court reporters and their support personnel, for purposes of preparing transcripts;
- viii. Employees of outside copying services and other vendors retained by counsel to assist in the copying, imaging, handling or computerization of documents, but only to the extent necessary to provide such services in connection with the Litigation and only after being informed of the provisions of this Protective Order and agreeing to abide by its terms;
- ix. Mediators or other Alternative Dispute Resolution neutrals (including their employees, agents and contractors) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary to their involvement in the Litigation; and
- x. Any person who created a document designated as "Confidential" or was the recipient thereof outside of the Litigation.
- c) Each person to whom materials designated as "Confidential" are disclosed (other than persons described in paragraphs IV(b)(i)-(iv), (vii), and (viii) shall execute a non-disclosure agreement in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A prior to their receipt of materials designated as "Confidential," and shall agree to be bound by this Protective Order and to be subject to the jurisdiction of this court for the purposes of enforcement, except that individuals identified in paragraphs II(b)(ii) and (iii) shall not be required to execute such an agreement, provided that counsel making disclosure to such individuals advise them of the terms of the Protective Order and they agree to be bound thereby. Counsel disclosing materials designated as "Confidential" to persons required to execute non-disclosure agreements shall retain all such executed agreements. Copies of the executed agreements shall be preserved by counsel and shall be provided to the opposing party if the court so orders upon a showing of good cause. The Parties shall ensure that witnesses sign the Protective Order before being presented with materials designated

"Confidential." If counsel for either Party represents the witness to whom material designated "Confidential" is being presented, that counsel shall ensure that the witness executes the Protective Order prior to being presented with the material designated "Confidential." A witness's refusal to sign the protective order does not waive any Party's ability to seek alternative relief to obtain the person's compliance with this Protective Order by other legal means, such as obtaining an order of the Court ordering the person to comply with this Protective Order.

# V. <u>DISCLOSURE OF MATERIALS DESIGNATED AS CONFIDENTIAL</u> – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

- a) Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, Discovery Material designated as "Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only" may be disclosed only to:
  - i. The court and all court staff, including its clerks and research attorneys;
  - ii. The Receiving Party's Outside Counsel, provided that such Outside Counsel is not involved in competitive decision-making, on behalf of a Party or a competitor of a Party, and such Outside Counsel's immediate paralegals and staff, and any copying or clerical litigation support services working at the direction of such counsel, paralegals, and staff;
  - iii. Any outside expert or consultant retained by the Receiving Party to assist in this action, provided that disclosure is only to the extent necessary to perform such work; and provided that: (a) such expert or consultant has agreed to be bound by the provisions of the Protective Order by signing a copy of Exhibit A; (b) such expert or consultant is not a current officer, director, or employee of a Party or of a competitor of a Party, nor anticipated at the time of retention to become an officer, director, or employee of a Party or of a competitor of a Party; (c) such expert or consultant is not involved in competitive decision-making, on behalf of a Party or a competitor of a Party; and (d) such expert or consultant accesses the materials in the United States only, and does not transport them to or access them from any foreign jurisdiction;

# 

- iv. Court reporters, stenographers and videographers retained to record testimony taken in this action;
- v. Mediators or other Alternative Dispute Resolution neutrals (including their employees, agents and contractors) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary to their involvement in the Litigation, who have agreed to be bound by the provisions of the Protective Order by signing a copy of Exhibit A;
- vi. Any other person with the prior written consent of the Producing or Designating Party.

### VI. USE IN COURT PROCEEDINGS - FILING OF COURT PAPERS

- a) Nothing contained in this Protective Order shall be construed to prejudice any Party's right to use at trial or in any hearing before the court any Confidential Information, provided that reasonable notice of the intended use of such material shall be given to all counsel of record in order to enable the parties to arrange for appropriate safeguards, and provided that the rules applicable to sealing records, as further addressed below, are followed. Likewise, nothing in this Protective Order shall be dispositive of any issues of relevance, discoverability or admissibility.
- Attorneys' Eyes Only" pursuant to this Protective Order to the court in the Litigation must comply with California Rules of Court ("CRC") 2.550, 2.551 and 8.46 to the extent applicable. If the materials are required to be kept confidential by law or are submitted in connection with discovery motions or proceedings, no court order is required. (CRC 2.550(a)(2) and (3).) However, if the materials are submitted for use at trial or as the basis for adjudication of matters other than discovery motions or proceedings, a court order sealing the materials is required and may only be obtained by careful compliance with the procedures set forth in CRC 2.551.

If either Party seeks to file materials designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" or disclose the contents of material designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" by the opposing Party as a basis for adjudication other than discovery motions or proceedings (e.g., motions within the scope of CRC 3.1350 and 3.764), the

filing Party must meet and confer with the Producing or Designating Party at least 10 calendar days prior to the intended filing date to offer the Producing or Designating Party the opportunity to evaluate whether the designated materials fall within the parameters of CRC 2.550(d). If the producing or Designating party does not agree to remove the "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" designation, the filing Party must prepare a motion or application pursuant to CRC 2.551(b).

The Parties understand that failure to comply with the procedural requirements of CRC 2.551 or failure to present evidence sufficient to support the findings set forth in CRC 2.550(d) may result in the placement of Confidential Information in the public file. The Parties further understand that no sealing order will be issued solely on the basis of the existence and applicability of this Protective Order. (CRC 2.551(a).)

### VII. <u>MODIFICATION</u>

Nothing in this Protective Order shall preclude any Party from applying to the court to modify this Protective Order to provide for additional safeguards to ensure the confidentiality of materials produced in this action or otherwise modify this Protective Order for good cause shown. In the event that documents or information that warrant heightened protection are requested to be produced, the Parties agree to negotiate in good faith to modify this Protective Order to provide for such protection.

#### VIII. <u>DISPOSITION OF MATERIALS AT CONCLUSION OF CASE</u>

All materials designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" shall remain in the possession of the counsel of record of the Party to whom such materials are produced, and they shall not permit any such materials to leave their possession, except that copies of such materials may be made for the use of persons to whom disclosure may be made under paragraph IV(b) or paragraph V of this Protective Order, or for the purpose of submission to the court under paragraph VI of this Protective Order. Within sixty (60) days after this action is concluded, including the expiration or exhaustion of all rights to appeal, each Party to whom materials designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" were produced shall, at the election of the Party receiving the materials, (a) return all documents and copies

Case No. RG17882082

containing materials designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" (including, but not limited to, copies in the possession or control of any expert or employee) to the Producing or Designating Party, except copies that have attorney work product on them in which case the materials and copies with work product should be destroyed or (b) promptly destroy all such materials and copies and provide a written certification under oath to the Producing Party and to any Designating Party to that effect. Under no circumstances may materials designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" under this Protective Order be used for any purpose whatsoever outside of this Litigation.

### IX. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION.

The court shall retain jurisdiction over all persons to be bound by the terms of this Protective Order, during the pendency of this action and for such time thereafter as is needed to carry out its terms. Even after the final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Protective Order shall remain in effect until a Producing Party or Designating Party, as applicable agrees otherwise in writing, or a court order otherwise so directs.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 22, 2020 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

By:

TRACEY KENNEDY
PAUL S. COWIE
PATRICIA M. JENG
SAMI HASAN
GAL GRESSEL
LUIS ARIAS

Attorneys for Defendant, TESLA, INC.

# Case 3:17-cv-06748-WHO Document 485-1 Filed 08/08/23 Page 18 of 21

1	Dated: June <b>2.2</b> 2020	
2		// //
3		By
4	×	BKYAN SCHWARTZ
5		BRYAN SCHWARTZ NATASHA BAKER BRYAN SCHWARTZ LAW
6		LAWRENCE ORGAN
7		NAVRUZ AVLONI CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW GROUP
8		A. ( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff, MARCUS VAUGHN
10		
11		
12		
13	*	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		-1- Case No. RG17882082
	SMRH:4851-0995-9616.2	STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	EXI	HIBIT A
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT ANI	D AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND
3	I,[prin	nt or type full name], of
4		[print or type full
5	address], declare under penalty of perjury that	I have read in its entirety and understand the
6	Stipulated Protective Order ("Protective Order"	') that was issued by the Alameda County Superior
7	Court on [date] in the	case of Marcus Vaughn v. Tesla, Inc. dba Tesla
8	Motors, Inc., Case No. RG17882082. I agree to	o comply with and to be bound by all the terms of
9	this Protective Order and I understand and ackr	nowledge that failure to so comply could expose me
10	to sanctions and punishment in the nature of co	ntempt. I solemnly promise that I will not disclose
11	in any manner any information or item that is so	ubject to this Protective Order to any person or
12	entity except in strict compliance with the prov	isions of this Protective Order.
13	I further agree to submit to the jurisdicti	ion of the Alameda County Superior Court for the
14	purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulate	d Protective Order, even if such enforcement
15	proceedings occur after termination of this action	on.
16		
17	Date:	Printed name:
18	City and State where sworn and signed:	Signature:
19		Title:
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	11	-1- Case No. RG1788208

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court hereby approves this Stipulation and Protective
3	Order.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: THE HONORABLE WINIFRED Y. SMITH
6	Alameda County Superior Court
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-1- Case No. RG17882082
	SMRH:4851-0995-9616.2 STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 Marcus Vaughn v. Tesla, Inc. dba Tesla Motors, Inc. Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17882082 3 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action**. I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. My business address is Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111-4109. 5 On June 23, 2020, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as: 6 STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER 7 on the interested parties in this action as follows: 8 9 Lawrence Organ, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff Navruz Avloni, Esq. Marcus Vaughn and the Putative Class CALIFORNIA CIVIL RIGHTS LAW 10 **GROUP** Tel: (415) 453-4740 332 San Anselmo Ave. Fax: (415) 785-7352 11 San Anselmo, CA 94960 Email: larry@civilrightsca.com navruz@civilrightsca.com 12 Bryan Schwartz, Esq. 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff Natasha Baker, Esq. Marcus Vaughn and the Putative Class BRYAN SCHWARTZ LAW 14 180 Grant Ave. Suite 1380 Tel: (510) 444-9300 15 Oakland, CA 94612 Fax: (510) 444-9301 Email: bryan@bryanschwartzlaw.com 16 natasha@bryanschwartzlaw.com natalie@bryanschwartzlaw.com 17 18 BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the documents to 19 be sent to the person[s] at the e-mail address[es] listed above. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the 20 transmission was unsuccessful. 21 22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 23 Executed on June 23, 2020, at San Francisco, California. 24 25 26 Mary Ton-Hum 27 28

> Case No. RG18928820 PROOF OF SERVICE